

BBS-D-15-00899_ Mather_ Houghton

The Dentate Gyrus and the Hilar Revised

Conor Houghton

Department of Computer Science, University of Bristol, UK

Department of Computer Science, Merchant Venturers Building, Woodland Road,
Bristol, BS8 1UB, UK

+44-117-954-5140

conor.houghton@bristol.ac.uk

<http://www.cs.bris.ac.uk/home/cscjh/>

Abstract: It is suggested that the dentate gyrus and hilar region in the hippocampus perform memory selection and that the selectivity of the gating of memory by this circuit is modulated by the norepinephrine - glutamate loop described in the target article.

In the target article the authors propose that arousal modulates attention through a norepinephrine - glutamate feedback loop in local circuits. Here, I would like to suggest a specific circuit where this mechanism may be in operation: the granule cell - mossy cell loop in the hippocampus.

It is commonly proposed that the CA3 region of hippocampus forms an auto-associative memory store for short and medium term memories (Marr, 1971; Gardner-Medwin, 1976; Levy & Steward, 1979; Hopfield, 1982; McNaughton & Morris, 1987; Rolls, 1989; Treves & Rolls, 1992). In this pictures, memories, in the form of patterns of activity in the entorhinal cortex, feed forward along the perforant pathway to CA3, activating a sparse subset of the CA3 pyramidal cells. Plasticity in the synapses of the recurrent network in CA3 and in the perforant pathway synapses onto CA3 neurons fixes the memory so that it can be recalled: if a part of the same pattern of activity occurs in entorhinal cortex, the corresponding part-pattern is activated in CA3 and it is then completed by auto-associative dynamics.

Pattern collision, where two similar memories are confused during pattern completion, is a problem in auto-associate networks, particularly if they are required to rapidly store memories with only a small number of presentations. It is likely that the hippocampus has

a mechanism to avoid or reduce pattern collision: the hippocampus stores rapidly acquired memories and it is important that similar but distinct memories can be distinguished during recall.

It has been proposed that the role of the dentate gyrus is to separate patterns and thereby reduce collisions (Treves & Rolls, 1992; O'Reilly & McClelland, 1994; Gilbert, Kesner, & Lee, 2001; Leutgeb, Leutgeb, Moser, & Moser, 2007; McHugh et al., 2007). In addition to CA3 neurons, the perforant pathway connects to the granule layer in dentate gyrus. The granule layer of dentate gyrus is, in turn, connected to CA3 along the mossy fibres. This means that the entorhinal cortex is connected to CA3 directly, along the perforant pathway, and indirectly, via dentate gyrus. In the specific version of dentate gyrus pattern separation proposed in (O'Reilly & McClelland, 1994) there is local k-winner-take all dynamics between cells in dentate gyrus and the consequence of this is that only a random subset of the cells receiving input from entorhinal cortex become active. This activity is feed forward along the mossy fibers to CA3 and in turn, excites a random subset of those cells in CA3 that receiving input from entorhinal cortex. This randomisation separates the patterns that are then learned in the CA3 auto-associative network.

There is experimental evidence (McHugh et al., 2007) that the dentate gyrus is important for pattern separation and that the adult neurogenesis of dentate gyrus granule cells, which may support the randomisation, is linked to pattern separation (Altman, 1963; Bayer, Yackel, & Puri, 1982; Clelland et al., 2009; Sahay et al., 2011). However, it seems unlikely that pattern separation is the only role of the dentate gyrus; for a start, pattern separation on its own seems a modest role for such a substantial brain region. Beyond this, pattern separation does not explain either the hilar region or the role of norepinephrine in the dentate gyrus.

The hilar region lies between dentate gyrus and CA3. As the mossy fibers run through the hilar region they form *en passant* connections with the mossy cells (Amaral, 1978; Scharfman & Myers, 2012). These are large excitatory cells whose proximal dendrites are

covered in mossy-looking spines. The mossy cells, in turn, have a substantial back-projection which extends along the longitudinal axis of the dentate gyrus (Amaral & Witter, 1989; Amaral, Scharfman, & Lavenex, 2007) and connects to both granule cells and inhibitory interneurons (Scharfman, 1994, 1995).

This two layer structure seems more elaborate than a simple randomising k-winner-takes-all network would require; random sub-selection from a pattern could be achieved by local excitatory-inhibitory dynamics within the dentate gyrus itself. However, the two-layer structure would make sense if the role of the dentate gyrus encompassed memory selection as well as pattern separation. As pointed out in (Koch & Ullman, 1984, 1987; Olshausen, Anderson, & Van Essen, 1993) a single layer winner-takes-all network in which competition occurs across the whole network requires considerable inter-neuronal connectivity. This is solved by having more than one layer; in the first layer competition is restricted to subregions, a champion emerges from each subregion to compete in the next layer where the competition between subregions occurs. In short, it is suggested here that, in addition to separating patterns, the winner-take-all dynamics in the dentate gyrus also compares the salience of different aspects of its input and that this selection gates and refines the storage of memories in CA3. The role of the hilar region is to facilitate this comparison.

The locus coeruleus projects to the dentate gyrus which contains beta-adrenergic receptors (Berridge & Waterhouse, 2003; Harley, 2007). Norepinephrine release in response to novelty during exploration enhances excitability in the dentate gyrus (Kitchigina, Vankov, Harley, & Sara, 1997), in fact, the activity of both interneurons (Nitz & McNaughton, 2004) and excitatory neurons (Neuman & Harley, 1983; Dahl & Winson, 1985) in dentate gyrus show norepinephrine-promoted increase in response to novelty. Furthermore, it has been shown that in hippocampus glutamate causes enhanced norepinephrine release (Pittaluga & Raiteri, 1990; Raiteri, Garrone, & Pittaluga, 1992), an effect which is most marked in the dentate gyrus (Andrés, Bustos, & Gysling, 1993). Conversely, norepinephrine in dentate gyrus, but not in other hippocampal regions, potentiates the release of glutamate (Lynch & Bliss, 1986). The role of norepinephrine in

dentate gyrus seems somewhat mysterious if the role of the dentate gyrus is restricted to pattern separation. However, if, as proposed here, the dentate gyrus also performs memory selection then the norepinephrine - glutamate mechanism for modulating memory selectivity described in the target article becomes the missing clue that could explain the role of norepinephrine in dentate gyrus.

References

- Altman, J. (1963). Autoradiographic investigation of cell proliferation in the brains of rats and cats. *The Anatomical Record*, 145 , 573–591.
- Amaral, D. G. (1978). A Golgi study of cell types in the hilar region of the hippocampus in the rat. *Journal of Comparative Neurology*, 182 , 851–914.
- Amaral, D. G., Scharfman, H. E., & Lavenex, P. (2007). The dentate gyrus: fundamental neuroanatomical organization (dentate gyrus for dummies). *Progress in Brain Research*, 163 , 3–22.
- Amaral, D. G., & Witter, M. P. (1989). The three-dimensional organization of the hippocampal formation: a review of anatomical data. *Neuroscience*, 31 , 571–591.
- Andrés, M. E., Bustos, G., & Gysling, K. (1993). Regulation of [3 h] norepinephrine release by n-methyl-d-aspartate receptors in minislices from the dentate gyrus and the CA 1 – CA 3 area of the rat hippocampus. *Biochemical Pharmacology*, 46 , 1983–1987.
- Bayer, S. A., Yackel, J. W., & Puri, P. S. (1982). Neurons in the rat dentate gyrus granular layer substantially increase during juvenile and adult life. *Science*, 216 , 890–892.
- Berridge, C. W., & Waterhouse, B. D. (2003). The locus coeruleus-noradrenergic system: modulation of behavioral state and state-dependent cognitive processes. *Brain Research Reviews*, 42 , 33–84.
- Clelland, C., Choi, M., Romberg, C., Clemenson, G., Fragniere, A., Tyers, P., . . . others (2009). A functional role for adult hippocampal neurogenesis in spatial pattern separation. *Science*, 325 , 210–213.
- Dahl, D., & Winson, J. (1985). Action of norepinephrine in the dentate gyrus. i. Stimulation of locus coeruleus. *Experimental Brain Research*, 59 , 491–496.
- Gardner-Medwin, A. (1976). The recall of events through the learning of associations between their parts. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London B*, 194 , 375–402.

- Gilbert, P. E., Kesner, R. P., & Lee, I. (2001). Dissociating hippocampal subregions: a double dissociation between dentate gyrus and CA1. *Hippocampus*, 11 , 626–636.
- Harley, C. W. (2007). Norepinephrine and the dentate gyrus. *Progress in Brain Research*, 163 , 299–318.
- Hopfield, J. J. (1982). Neural networks and physical systems with emergent collective computational abilities. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 79 , 2554–2558.
- Kitchigina, V., Vankov, A., Harley, C., & Sara, S. J. (1997). Novelty-elicited, noradrenaline-dependent enhancement of excitability in the dentate gyrus. *European Journal of Neuroscience*, 9 , 41–47.
- Koch, C., & Ullman, S. (1984). Selecting one among the many: A simple network implementing shifts in selective visual attention. *MIT Artificial Intelligence Laboratory Technical Report*, Memo 770 .
- Koch, C., & Ullman, S. (1987). Shifts in selective visual attention: towards the underlying neural circuitry. In *Matters of intelligence* (pp. 115–141). Netherlands: Springer.
- Leutgeb, J. K., Leutgeb, S., Moser, M.-B., & Moser, E. I. (2007). Pattern separation in the dentate gyrus and CA3 of the hippocampus. *Science*, 315 , 961–966.
- Levy, W. B., & Steward, O. (1979). Synapses as associative memory elements in the hippocampal formation. *Brain Research*, 175 , 233–245.
- Lynch, M., & Bliss, T. (1986). Noradrenaline modulates the release of [14 c] glutamate from dentate but not from CA1/CA3 slices of rat hippocampus. *Neuropharmacology*, 25, 493–498.
- Marr, D. (1971). Simple memory: a theory for archicortex. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B*, 262 , 23–81.
- McHugh, T. J., Jones, M. W., Quinn, J. J., Balthasar, N., Coppari, R., Elmquist, J. K., . . . Tonegawa, S. (2007). Dentate gyrus NMDA receptors mediate rapid pattern separation in the hippocampal network. *Science*, 317 , 94–99.
- McNaughton, B. L., & Morris, R. G. M. (1987). Hippocampal synaptic enhancement and information storage within a distributed memory system. *Trends in Neurosciences*, 10, 408–415.

- Neuman, R., & Harley, C. (1983). Long-lasting potentiation of the dentate gyrus population spike by norepinephrine. *Brain Research*, 273 , 162–165.
- Nitz, D., & McNaughton, B. (2004). Differential modulation of ca1 and dentate gyrus interneurons during exploration of novel environments. *Journal of Neurophysiology*, 91 , 863–872.
- Olshausen, B. A., Anderson, C. H., & Van Essen, D. C. (1993). A neurobiological model of visual attention and invariant pattern recognition based on dynamic routing of information. *The Journal of Neuroscience*, 13 , 4700–4719.
- O'Reilly, R. C., & McClelland, J. L. (1994). Hippocampal conjunctive encoding, storage, and recall: avoiding a trade-off. *Hippocampus*, 4 , 661–682.
- Pittaluga, A., & Raiteri, M. (1990). Release-enhancing glycine-dependent presynaptic nmda receptors exist on noradrenergic terminals of hippocampus. *European Journal of Pharmacology*, 191 , 231–234.
- Raiteri, M., Garrone, B., & Pittaluga, A. (1992). N-methyl-d-aspartic acid (nmda) and non-NMDA receptors regulating hippocampal norepinephrine release. ii. evidence for functional cooperation and for coexistence on the same axon terminal. *Journal of Pharmacology and Experimental Therapeutics*, 260 , 238–242.
- Rolls, E. T. (1989). The representation and storage of information in neural networks in the primate cerebral cortex and hippocampus. In *The Computing Neuron* (pp. 125–159). Boston, MA: Addison-Wesley.
- Sahay, A., Scobie, K. N., Hill, A. S., O'Carroll, C. M., Kheirbek, M. A., Burghardt, N. S., . . . Hen, R. (2011). Increasing adult hippocampal neurogenesis is sufficient to improve pattern separation. *Nature*, 472 (7344), 466–470.
- Scharfman, H. E. (1994). Evidence from simultaneous intracellular recordings in rat hippocampal slices that area CA3 pyramidal cells innervate dentate hilar mossy cells. *Journal of Neurophysiology*, 72 , 2167–2180.
- Scharfman, H. E. (1995). Electrophysiological evidence that dentate hilar mossy cells are excitatory and innervate both granule cells and interneurons. *Journal of Neurophysiology*, 74 , 179–194.
- Scharfman, H. E., & Myers, C. E. (2012). Hilar mossy cells of the dentate gyrus: a historical perspective. *Frontiers in Neural Circuits*, 6 .
- Treves, A., & Rolls, E. T. (1992). Computational constraints suggest the need for two distinct input systems to the hippocampal ca3 network. *Hippocampus*, 2 , 189–199.